

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/726,744	12/02/2003	Larry C. Olsen	23-65037-01	6833
32215 7590 92/18/2009 KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW SALMON STREET, SUITE 1600			EXAMINER	
			BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS	
	ONE WORLD TRADE CENTER PORTLAND, OR 97204			PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Interview Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/726.744 OLSEN ET AL. Examiner Art Unit 1705 Jeffrey T. Barton

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Jeffrey T. Barton.

(3)Jim Matheson.

(2) Lisa Caldwell.

(4) John DeSteese.

Date of Interview: 12 February 2009.

c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: Talking points memo.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 2, 11, 16, 23, and 37,

Identification of prior art discussed: DE '309, Migowski, Bass.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claims as currently amended were discussed relative to the prior art of record, particularly with respect to the limitations to "a non-stoichiometric compound", the claimed L/A ratio, element thicknesses, and co-sputtering. The propriety of the combination of the Migowski and Bass references was discussed.

e) No.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Jeffrey T. Barton/ Art Unit 1795